



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,196	01/23/2004	Thomas E. Gorsuch	55302CON3	8066	
27975	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER		
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.			CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY		
P.O. BOX 37	I CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE BOX 3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO,	FL 32802-3791	2686			
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,196	GORSUCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joy K Contee	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nuary 2004.					
<del></del>	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Address						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Profession's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(P10-413) te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2686

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 2 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,526,281. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gupta et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,226,044, discloses low-rate encoding digital speech interpolation system.

Rydbeck, U.S. Patent No. 4,949395, discloses a method and arrangement for dynamically allocating time slots to connection in a digital mobile radio system.

Morrow et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,881,060 discloses an integrated cellular voice and digital packet data telecommunications systems and method for their operation.

Art Unit: 2686

Kanerva et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,793,744, discloses a multi-channel high speed data transfer.

Rasanen et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,956,332, discloses a high speed data transmission in mobile communication networks.

Nadgauda et al., U. S. Patent no. 6,001,800, discloses a hierarchical resource management method, system base station, head-end unit and subscriber unit for variable resource size communication systems.

Takayama et al.,U.S. Patent No. 6,002,690, discloses a time division multiplex transferring system capable of increasing transferring efficiency and preventing interruption of communication.

JC